

INFERRING PERSISTENT INTERDOMAIN CONGESTION

Amogh Dhamdhere

with David Clark, Alex Gamero-Garrido, Matthew Luckie, Ricky Mok, Gautam Akiwate, Kabir Gogia, Vaibhav Bajpai, Alex Snoeren, k Claffy











Problem: High Volume Content Strains Internet Technology and Economics



France Telecom Accused Of Holding YouTube Videos Hostage Unless It Gets More Money

from the more-peering-disputes dept

Peak Time Congestion Hits Hardest for Broadband ISP Plusnet Level 3 and Co

Netflix packets being dropped every day because Verizon wants more money

Verizon wants to be paid by consumers and Cogent, but Cogent refuses to pay.

Level 3 and Comcast Issue Statement

Monday, April 23rd, 2018 (3:12 pm) - Score 14,382

Jul 16, 2013



CenturyLink Pushed For Net Neutrality Repeal, Now el 3 and Comcast have resolved their prior interconnect Adorably Calls For FCC To Police Interconnection

from the good-luck-with--that dept

Broadband by Karl Bode Thu, Dec 21st 2017 10:44am

You'll probably recall that a few years ago, Netflix streams users nationwide. Eventually, Netflix, Level3 and Cogent sta Netflix's end, but was occurring at peering points, where th intentionally letting their networks congest by refusing to ...,

Verizon denies using net neutrality victory to sabotage Netflix, Amazon

EY BRIAN FUNG 🔤 February 5 at 1.59 pm

Cogent Gearing for Another Peering Battle

Confirmed: Comcast and Netflix have signed a paid peering agreement Netflix still sucks on AT&T, and now AT&T plans to offer Netflix clone

by Stacey Higginbotham FEB. 23, 2014 - 9:27 A AT&T partners with an investment firm to buy and launch streaming services.



Manifestation: Interdomain Congestion



Manifestation: Interdomain Congestion



Manifestation: Interdomain Congestion





 Congestion on transit links affects parties other than those involved in the dispute



- Congestion on transit links affects parties other than those involved in the dispute
- Limited data available to regulators and researchers to increase transparency and empirical grounding of debate



- Congestion on transit links affects parties other than those involved in the dispute
- Limited data available to regulators and researchers to increase transparency and empirical grounding of debate
- Our goal: third-party inference of congestion at interdomain interconnections



- Congestion on transit links affects parties other than those involved in the dispute
- Limited data available to regulators and researchers to increase transparency and empirical grounding of debate
- Our goal: third-party inference of congestion at interdomain interconnections
- Scientific approach to achieving this goal involves challenges in network inference, system development and data mining





 Methodology: Operationalized a lightweight method for third-party inference of interdomain congestion, conducted thorough validation



- Methodology: Operationalized a lightweight method for third-party inference of interdomain congestion, conducted thorough validation
- 2. **System**: Built data collection and analysis platform to support the entire scientific workflow, and enable others to access and further study the data (ongoing)



- Methodology: Operationalized a lightweight method for third-party inference of interdomain congestion, conducted thorough validation
- 2. **System**: Built data collection and analysis platform to support the entire scientific workflow, and enable others to access and further study the data (ongoing)
- 3. **Observations**: Studied 8 large U.S. broadband providers from March 2016 to Dec 2017 (data collection ongoing)



Method: Time Series Latency Probes (But first, an observation)

Peak-hour congestion fills up router buffers, resulting in elevated latency across an interdomain link



Method: Time Series Latency Probes (But first, an observation)

Peak-hour congestion fills up router buffers, resulting in elevated latency across an interdomain link

How do we measure latency across an interdomain link?



Time Series Latency Probes (TSLP)



Time Series Latency Probes (TSLP) **ISPA** B VP near far dst 24 #B #A Border destination vantage point TTL: n RTT #A









Measured interdomain link from Comcast to Cogent using VP in Comcast



RTT measurements of border routers



*Luckie, Dhamdhere, Clark, Huffaker, Claffy, 'Challenges in Inferring Interdomain Congestion'', IMC 2014



RTT measurements of border routers



Diurnal elevation to far-side

*Luckie, Dhamdhere, Clark, Huffaker, Claffy, 'Challenges in Inferring Interdomain Congestion'', IMC 2014



RTT measurements of border routers



*Luckie, Dhamdhere, Clark, Huffaker, Claffy, "Challenges in Inferring Interdomain Congestion", IMC 2014





caida

• Interdomain link Identification: Need to identify interdomain links to be able to probe them

- Interdomain link Identification: Need to identify interdomain links to be able to probe them
- Adaptive Probing: Need to be adaptive to changes in the underlying topology and routing

- Interdomain link Identification: Need to identify interdomain links to be able to probe them
- Adaptive Probing: Need to be adaptive to changes in the underlying topology and routing
- Identifying Congested Links: Need time-series analysis techniques to find patterns in (noisy) data that indicate congestion



- Interdomain link Identification: Need to identify interdomain links to be able to probe them
- Adaptive Probing: Need to be adaptive to changes in the underlying topology and routing
- Identifying Congested Links: Need time-series analysis techniques to find patterns in (noisy) data that indicate congestion
- Validation: Need to validate inferences. Most peering agreements are covered by NDAs








































- Focus on **persistently congested** links
- Look for periods of elevated latency that correlate across days (autocorrelation method)

















- Validation of inference method
 - Correlation with loss
 - Correlation with throughput
 - Correlation with YouTube streaming



- Validation of inference method
 - Correlation with loss
 - Correlation with throughput
 - Correlation with YouTube streaming
- Validation of specific inferences
 - Ground truth from operators



- Validation of inference method
 - Correlation with loss
 - Correlation with throughput
 - Correlation with YouTube streaming
- Validation of specific inferences
 - Ground truth from operators



- Validation of inference method
 - Correlation with loss
 - Correlation with throughput
 - Correlation with YouTube streaming

Brief overview in this talk See paper for full details

- Validation of specific inferences
 - Ground truth from operators

























Approach: throughput measurements from Ark VP to M-lab NDT server traversing congested interdomain link





Approach: throughput measurements from Ark VP to M-lab NDT server traversing congested interdomain link

Challenge: difficult to find NDT servers that cover specifically observed interconnections









Lower throughput during periods inferred congested





Validation: Operator Feedback





 Validated our inferences with operators from two large U.S. access ISPs





- Validated our inferences with operators from two large U.S. access ISPs
- ISP A: 7 links (all inferred congested)
- ISP B: 20 links (10 inferred congested, 10 uncongested)





Validation: Operator Feedback

- Validated our inferences with operators from two large U.S. access ISPs
- ISP A: 7 links (all inferred congested)
- ISP B: 20 links (10 inferred congested, 10 uncongested)
- Our inferences were correct in each case: no false positives or false negatives



Longitudinal Study

- Collecting data since March 2016
- Focused on interdomain links of 8 large access ISPs in the U.S. to their transit providers and peers from Mar 2016 to Dec 2017
- Driving questions:
 - How prevalent is interdomain congestion?
 - Which transit/content providers are most often congested to access providers?
 - Can we characterize trends over time?



What Did We Find (so far)?

- No evidence of widespread (pervasive) congestion between Mar 2016 and Dec 2017
- Small fraction of peers of the 8 studied access providers showed evidence of congestion
- Certain transit providers (e.g., TATA) and content providers (e.g. Google) most often showed evidence of congestion
- Interesting dynamics of interdomain congestion

See paper for details

Percent of congested day-links over time



34
Percent of congested day-links over time



35

Percent of congested day-links over time





Percent of congested day-links over time



37



Public Access to Data

- We are publicly releasing our data via an interactive visualization system (based on Grafana)
- And API access to the time series data (based on InfluxDB)



Interactive Visualization



Longitudinal view of a single link, April - November 2017

Interactive Visualization



Zooming in for more detail



Interactive Visualization



Zooming in for more detail





- We have developed a lightweight method and system to provide third-party visibility into interdomain congestion
- We hope that our data can provide empirical grounding to debates over interconnection performance
- Contact us for access to the data: <u>manic-info@caida.org</u>



Host a Measurement VP!

We are always looking for volunteers to host VPs!

Contact us: manic-info@caida.org





Thanks! Questions? <u>manic-info@caida.org</u>

